

*E.D.
Cont.* said data packets to one of said first CPU and said second CPU in response to a respective one of said first and second identifying numbers --

REMARKS

Claims 4 and 6 remain in the application and have been amended hereby.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the rejection of claims 4 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite.

Claims 4 and 6 have been amended hereby to provide proper antecedent basis for all elements positively recited therein. More particularly, the claims have been amended to recite that the first telephone operates with a first digital audio signal that is processed to result in first audio data and, similarly, that the second telephone set operates on a second digital audio signal that is processed into second audio data. By providing such changes to the claims the subsequent recitation relating to the connection control means is provided with proper antecedent basis.

Accordingly, in view of the amendments made to the claims hereby, it is respectfully submitted that the amended claims are clear and definite in their recitation of the present invention and meet all requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112.

Favorable reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

COOPER & DUNHAM LLP

Pedro Carlos Fernandez

Jay H. Maioli
Reg. No. 27,213
Pedro C. Fernandez
Reg. No. 41,741